(4)

100 & Record 2000

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

W.P. No. 620 /2001

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Petitioner : Sunil Lankra,
S/o Shri Jai Masih Lankra,
aged about 40 years,
R/o Anusuchit Jati, Janjati
Adhikar Parishad, Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

Respondents:

1. State of Chhattisgarh
   Through Secretary,
   Commercial Tax Department,
   Government of Chhattisgarh,
   Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan,
   Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner (Excise)
   Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Assistant Commissioner
   (Excise), Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Collector, Bilaspur
   Chhattisgarh
5. M/s Macbold Farms,
   Through it's proprietor
   Bhupendra Singh Bhatia,
   S/o Shri Surjeet Singh Bhatia,
   R/o Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.

CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR
2/3/2009

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1. **Particulars of the Petitioner :**

As detailed above in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

(22)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 620/2001

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10/5/2001 Shri B. Pyasi, learned counsel for the petitioner. Dr. N.K. Shukla, learned Dy.A.G. for the State. Parties are heard. In the original petition the petitioner contended that the plot nos.2A,B,C and D were illegally used for manufacturing a bottling plant for bottling liquor. When this Court required the petitioner to submit an affidavit that on what basis the petitioner stated that bottling plant/ distillery is being established on the plot, the petitioner filed his affidavit on 9/5/2001. In the said affidavit, the petitioner has come up with a different story saying that the plots were allotted for business of computer forms and ~~pri~~-printed stationery, but later on the same were being used for bottling mineral water. If the affidavit of the petitioner is to be believed, it cannot be held that the bottling plant for bottling liquor is being established without a licence from the Excise Department. On the facts available in the petition, we are unable to hold that present is a fit matter for interference by the High Court. The petition is dismissed. Sd/- Chief Justice Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge	